arXiv:math/0111258v4 [math.AG] 16 Jul 2002

Local residues of holomorphic 1-forms on an isolated surface singularity

Oliver Klehn

Institut für Mathematik, Universität Hannover,
Postfach 6009, D-30060 Hannover, Germany
E-mail: klehn@math.uni-hannover.de

Abstract

We define local residues of holomorphic 1-forms on an isolated surface singularity that have isolated zeros and prove that a certain residue equals the index of the 1-forms defined by Ebeling and Gusein-Zade.

1 Introduction

Let $f_1, \ldots, f_n \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}^n,0}$ define a regular sequence, let J_f be the determinant of the Jacobian matrix of $f := (f_1, \ldots, f_n)$ and set

$$Q_f := \frac{\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}^n,0}}{(f_1, \ldots, f_n)}.$$

Then a classical result states

$$\mathrm{ind}_{\mathbb{C}^n,0} f = \dim_{\mathbb{C}} Q_f = \mathrm{res}_{\mathbb{C}^n,0} \begin{bmatrix} J_f \\ f_1 \ldots f_n \end{bmatrix},$$

where ind is the Poincaré-Hopf index and res the local residue symbol. Recall that the residue is defined for any $h \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}^n,0}$ by

$$\mathrm{res}_{\mathbb{C}^n,0} \begin{bmatrix} h \\ f_1 \ldots f_n \end{bmatrix} := \frac{1}{(2\pi i)^n} \int_{\Gamma} \frac{h dz_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge dz_n}{f_1 \ldots f_n}$$

where Γ is the real n-cycle $\{|f_i| = \epsilon_i, i = 1, \ldots, n\}$ for $\epsilon_i \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$ chosen small enough oriented so that $d(\arg f_1) \wedge \cdots \wedge d(\arg f_n) \geq 0$, and the Poincaré-Hopf index is the degree of the map $f/||f||$ restricted to a small sphere at the origin, see also [1, 7, 11] for the definitions.

In the framework of singularity theory it arises a natural question: How to generalize these definitions when one considers holomorphic function germs on an isolated singularity $(V, 0) \subset (\mathbb{C}^n, 0)$? There are two directions one can go. The first is the definition of an index of holomorphic vector fields tangent to $(V, 0)$, called the GSV index. The results can be found in [2, 4, 5, 8, 9, 10, 12]. The other direction is to consider holomorphic 1-forms on $(V, 0)$ which has been done by Ebeling and Gusein-Zade in [3]. This is the situation we give a generalization of the residue symbol and therefore we briefly recall the main results of [3].

Let $(V,0) = (\{f_1 = \cdots = f_q = 0\}, 0) \subset (\mathbb{C}^n, 0)$ be an isolated complete intersection singularity and $\omega = \sum_{i=1}^n \omega_i dz_i$ the germ of a holomorphic 1-form on $(\mathbb{C}^n, 0)$ which has an isolated zero on $(V,0)$. Choose a sufficiently small sphere S_δ around the origin in $\mathbb{C}^n$ which intersects V transversally and consider the link $K = V \cap S_\delta$ of V. The 1-forms $\omega, df_1, \ldots, df_q$ are linearly independent for all points of K and we have a well defined map

$$(\omega, df_1, \ldots, df_q) \colon K \to W_{q+1}(\mathbb{C}^n)$$

where $W_{q+1}(\mathbb{C}^n)$ denotes the manifold of $(q+1)$-frames in the dual $\mathbb{C}^n$. We have

$$H_{2n-2q-1}(K) \cong \mathbb{Z}, \;\; H_{2n-2q-1}(W_{q+1}(\mathbb{C}^n)) \cong \mathbb{Z}$$

and therefore the map has a degree. We let K to be oriented as boundary of the complex manifold $V \setminus \{0\}$ here. The index $\mathrm{ind}_{V,0}\omega$ of ω is defined to be the degree of this map. (If V is a curve K can have more components, we will sum over the degrees of the components then.)

Let J be the ideal in $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}^n,0}$ generated by $f_1, \ldots, f_q$ and the $(q+1)$-minors of the matrix

$$\begin{pmatrix} \frac{\partial f_1}{\partial z_1} & \cdots & \frac{\partial f_1}{\partial z_n} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \frac{\partial f_q}{\partial z_1} & \cdots & \frac{\partial f_q}{\partial z_n} \\ \omega_1 & \cdots & \omega_n \end{pmatrix}.$$

For a regular value $0 \neq \epsilon = (\epsilon_1, \ldots, \epsilon_q) \in \mathbb{C}^q$ of f chosen small enough and a small ball B_δ around the origin in $\mathbb{C}^n$ define $V_\epsilon := f^{-1}(\epsilon) \cap B_\delta$. V_ϵ is transversally to S_δ then. We set $\mathcal{A} := \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}^n,0}/J$ and have the following theorem of Ebeling and Gusein-Zade:

Theorem 1. *(i) If $(V,0)$ is smooth, $\mathrm{ind}_{V,0}\omega$ is the usual Poincaré-Hopf index.*
(ii) $\mathrm{ind}_{V,0}\omega$ equals the number of zeros of ω on V_ϵ counted with multiplicities.
(iii) $\mathrm{ind}_{V,0}\omega = \dim_{\mathbb{C}} \mathcal{A}$.

In this paper we define if V is a surface a linear form

$$\mathrm{res}_{V,0} \colon \mathcal{A} \to \mathbb{C},$$

which we call the relative residue form of ω and prove that for a certain class $\sigma \in \mathcal{A}$ one has a formula

$$\mathrm{ind}_{V,0}\omega = \mathrm{res}_{V,0}(\sigma).$$

We use the linear form to prove some algebraic properties of $\mathcal{A}$. There is used classical Grothendieck residue theory and we will therefore recall the main facts as them can be found in [7]. With the notations introduced at the beginning of this section we summarize some results of [7] in the following theorem:

Theorem 2. *(i) For* $i = 1, \ldots, n$ *let* $g_i := \sum_{j=1}^{n} a_{ij} f_j$ *so that* $g_1, \ldots, g_n$ *is a regular sequence and let* A *be the matrix* $(a_{ij})_{i=1,\ldots,n}^{j=1,\ldots,n}$. *Then one has for any* $h \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}^n,0}$

$$\operatorname{res}_{\mathbb{C}^n,0} \begin{bmatrix} h \\ f_1 \ldots f_n \end{bmatrix} = \operatorname{res}_{\mathbb{C}^n,0} \begin{bmatrix} h \det A \\ g_1 \ldots g_n \end{bmatrix}.$$

(ii) The residue defines a linear form

$$\operatorname{res}_{\mathbb{C}^n,0} \begin{bmatrix} \cdot \\ f_1 \ldots f_n \end{bmatrix} : Q_f \to \mathbb{C}.$$

(iii) The induced pairing

$$B \colon Q_f \times Q_f \to \mathbb{C}$$

defined by

$$B(h, g) := \operatorname{res}_{\mathbb{C}^n,0} \begin{bmatrix} h \cdot g \\ f_1 \ldots f_n \end{bmatrix}$$

is non-degenerate.
(iv) For perturbations f_ϵ *and* h_ϵ *of* f *and* h *one has*

$$\operatorname{res}_{\mathbb{C}^n,0} \begin{bmatrix} h \\ f_1 \ldots f_n \end{bmatrix} = \lim_{\epsilon \to 0} \sum_i \operatorname{res}_{\mathbb{C}^n,p_i} \begin{bmatrix} h_\epsilon \\ f_{\epsilon,1} \ldots f_{\epsilon,n} \end{bmatrix}$$

where one sums over the zeros of f_ϵ *in a neighbourhood of the origin.*

We want to formulate our main result. Let $q = n - 2$ and M_i the matrix obtained from

$$\begin{pmatrix} \frac{\partial f_1}{\partial z_1} & \cdots & \frac{\partial f_1}{\partial z_n} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \frac{\partial f_{n-2}}{\partial z_1} & \cdots & \frac{\partial f_{n-2}}{\partial z_n} \\ \omega_1 & \cdots & \omega_n \end{pmatrix}$$

by cancelling the i-th column, $m_i := \det(M_i)$ and $M := \left((-1)^i \frac{\partial m_i}{\partial z_j}\right)$. Let σ be the coefficient of t^{n-2} in the characteristical polynomial of M. We will prove that there exits a linear change of coordinates (in fact a generic one) so that (m_1, m_2) is a regular $\mathcal{O}_{V,0}$-sequence and call these coordinates good coordinates. Moreover we define for $h \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}^n,0}$

$$\operatorname{res}_{V,0} \begin{bmatrix} h \\ m_1 m_2 \end{bmatrix} := \frac{1}{(2\pi i)^2} \int_\Sigma \frac{h dz_1 \wedge dz_2}{m_1 m_2}$$

with $\Sigma := \{f_1 = \cdots = f_{n-2} = 0, |m_1| = \delta_1, |m_2| = \delta_2\}$ oriented so that $d(\arg m_1) \wedge d(\arg m_2) \geq 0$ and where δ_1, δ_2 are small positive real numbers. The following theorem is our main result:

Theorem 3. *In each system of good coordinates we have a linear form*

$$\operatorname{res}_{V,0}\begin{bmatrix} \cdot \\ m_1 m_2 \end{bmatrix} : \mathcal{A} \to \mathbb{C}$$

with

$$\dim_{\mathbb{C}} \mathcal{A} = \operatorname{res}_{V,0}\begin{bmatrix} \sigma \\ m_1 m_2 \end{bmatrix}.$$

I wish to thank W. Ebeling and S.M. Gusein-Zade for useful discussions and the referee for useful comments concerning the presentation. The idea that one should try to find a residue formula for the index of a holomorphic 1-form is due to W. Ebeling.

2 Absolute and relative residues

Let $(V,0) \subset (\mathbb{C}^n, 0)$ be an icis as before and $g_1, \ldots, g_{n-q} \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}^n,0}$ define an isolated zero on $(V,0)$. If the real hypersurfaces $\{|g_i| = \delta_i\}$ for small δ_i are in general position let

$$\Sigma := \{f_1 = \cdots = f_q = 0, |g_i| := \delta_i, i = 1, \ldots, n-q\}$$

to be the real $(n-q)$-cycle oriented so that $d(\arg g_1) \wedge \cdots \wedge d(\arg g_{n-q}) \geq 0$. Then we have for each

$$\eta \in \Omega^{n-q}_{V,0} := \Omega^{n-q}_{\mathbb{C}^n,0} / (\Sigma f_i \Omega^{n-q}_{\mathbb{C}^n,0} + \Sigma df_i \wedge \Omega^{n-q-1}_{\mathbb{C}^n,0})$$

a well defined integral

$$\frac{1}{(2\pi i)^{n-q}} \int_{\Sigma} \frac{\eta}{g_1 \cdots g_{n-q}}$$

which we denote by

$$\operatorname{res}_{V,0}\begin{bmatrix} \eta \\ g_1 \ldots g_{n-q} \end{bmatrix}.$$

We now want to prove a relation between these relative residues and the absolute residues. Define

$$\lambda \colon \Omega^{n-q}_{V,0} \to \mathcal{O}_{V,0}$$

by $\lambda(\eta) := h$, where h is defined by

$$h dz_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge dz_n := \eta \wedge df_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge df_q.$$

We want to prove the following theorem:

Theorem 4.

$$\operatorname{res}_{V,0}\begin{bmatrix} \eta \\ g_1 \ldots g_{n-q} \end{bmatrix} = \operatorname{res}_{\mathbb{C}^n,0}\begin{bmatrix} \lambda(\eta) \\ g_1 \ldots g_{n-q} f_1 \ldots f_q \end{bmatrix}.$$

The intersection multiplicity I of the hypersurfaces defined by $f_1, \dots, f_q$, $g_1, \dots, g_{n-q}$ is also given as a relative integral:

Corollary 1.

$$I = \frac{1}{(2\pi i)^{n-q}} \int_\Sigma \frac{dg_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge dg_{n-q}}{g_1 \cdots g_{n-q}}.$$

The Theorem follows from the next Lemma if we set

$$DF := \det \left(\frac{\partial(f_1, \dots, f_q)}{\partial(z_{n-q+1}, \dots, z_n)} \right).$$

Lemma 1.

$$\operatorname{res}_{V,0} \begin{bmatrix} h dz_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge dz_{n-q} \\ g_1 \cdots g_{n-q} \end{bmatrix} = \operatorname{res}_{\mathbb{C}^n,0} \begin{bmatrix} h \cdot DF \\ g_1 \cdots g_{n-q} f_1 \cdots f_q \end{bmatrix}.$$

Proof of Theorem 4. Let $1 \leq i_1 < \cdots < i_{n-q} \leq n$ and $1 \leq j_1 < \cdots < j_q \leq n$ the complement, $\sigma := (i_1, \dots, i_{n-q}, j_1, \dots, j_q) \in S_n$. Then we get

$$\operatorname{res}_{V,0} \begin{bmatrix} h dz_{i_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge dz_{i_{n-q}} \\ g_1 \cdots g_{n-q} \end{bmatrix} = \operatorname{sign}\sigma \cdot \operatorname{res}_{\mathbb{C}^n,0} \begin{bmatrix} h \cdot \frac{\partial(f_1,\dots,f_q)}{\partial(z_{j_1},\dots,z_{j_q})} \\ g_1 \cdots g_{n-q} f_1 \cdots f_q \end{bmatrix} \tag{1}$$

by Lemma 1 using the permutation of coordinates σ and the integral transformation formula. Now the theorem follows from equation 1 using the Laplace expansion formula. □

First we prove a special case of Lemma 1. Define

$$F := (g_1, \dots, g_{n-q}, f_1, \dots, f_q).$$

Claim 1. *Lemma 1 holds if 0 is a regular value of F.*

To prove this we need some facts from linear algebra.

Lemma 2. *Let $H := \begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{pmatrix}$ be the decomposition of a $n \times n$-matrix in four blocks where A and D are squared and A is invertible. Then*

$$\det H = \det A \cdot \det(D - CA^{-1}B).$$

Proof. It is just a simple exercise. □

Lemma 3. *Let $H := \begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{pmatrix}$ be an invertible $n \times n$-matrix with $H^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} E & F \\ G & I \end{pmatrix}$ where A und E are $j \times j$-matrices and D and I are $(n-j) \times (n-j)$-matrices. Then*

$$\det D = \det E \cdot \det H.$$

Proof. We have

$$1 = HH^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} AE + BG & AF + BI \\ CE + DG & CF + DI \end{pmatrix}.$$

Let E be invertible. Sice we have $CE + DG = 0$ we get $-CF - DGE^{-1}F = 0$ and therefore with $DI = 1 - CF$ it follows

$$\det(1 - CF - DGE^{-1}F) = \det D \cdot \det(I - GE^{-1}F) = 1. \tag{2}$$

This means that D is invertible. Now assume that D is invertible. Since we have $CE + DG = 0$ we get $BD^{-1}CE + BG = 0$. From $1 - BG = AE$ it follows that

$$\det(1 - BD^{-1}CE - BG) = \det(A - BD^{-1}C) \cdot \det E = 1$$

and so we find that E must be invertible. We have shown that E is invertible if and only if D is invertible and so the Lemma follows for non invertible E. If E is invertible we get by Lemma 2

$$\det H^{-1} = \det E \cdot \det(I - GE^{-1}F).$$

By equation 2 we get

$$\det H^{-1} = \det E \cdot \frac{1}{\det D}$$

and $\det D = \det E \cdot \det H$ follows. ☐

Proof of the Claim. We find that V is smooth and 0 is also a regular value of $G := (g_1, \ldots, g_{n-q})|_V$. We choose new coordinates $x := F(z)$ on $(\mathbb{C}^n, 0)$ resp. $x' := G(z)$ on $(V, 0)$ and set $\tilde{F} := F^{-1}$ resp. $\tilde{G} := G^{-1}$. Using the integral transformation formula and the Cauchy integral formula we get

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{res}_{V,0} \begin{bmatrix} hdz_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge dz_{n-q} \\ g_1 \ldots g_{n-q} \end{bmatrix} &= \frac{1}{(2\pi i)^{n-q}} \int_\Sigma \frac{hdz_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge dz_{n-q}}{g_1 \ldots g_{n-q}} \\ &= \frac{1}{(2\pi i)^{n-q}} \int_T \frac{h(\tilde{G}) \frac{\partial(\tilde{G}_1, \ldots, \tilde{G}_{n-q})}{\partial(x_1, \ldots, x_{n-q})} dx_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge dx_{n-q}}{x_1 \ldots x_{n-q}} \\ &= h(\tilde{G}(0)) \frac{\partial(\tilde{G}_1, \ldots, \tilde{G}_{n-q})}{\partial(x_1, \ldots, x_{n-q})}(0) \\ &= h(0) \frac{\partial(\tilde{F}_1, \ldots, \tilde{F}_{n-q})}{\partial(x_1, \ldots, x_{n-q})}(0). \end{aligned}$$

T is the torus

$$T := \{|x_i| = \delta_i,\ i = 1, \ldots, n - q\}$$

oriented as usually here. Similarly we get

$$\operatorname{res}_{\mathbb{C}^n,0} \begin{bmatrix} h \cdot DF \\ g_1 \ldots g_{n-q} f_1 \ldots f_q \end{bmatrix} = h(0) DF(0) \frac{\partial(\tilde{F}_1, \ldots, \tilde{F}_n)}{\partial(x_1, \ldots, x_n)}(0).$$

Now the equality of the residues follows from Lemma 3. ☐

Proof of Lemma 1. Denote by μ the local multiplicity of F. Let G be defined as above. The regular values of G are dense and we have for those $y = (y_1, \ldots, y_{n-q})$ with $g_y := (g_1 - y_1, \ldots, g_{n-q} - y_{n-q})$

$$\operatorname{res}_{\mathbb{C}^n,0}\begin{bmatrix} h \cdot DF \\ g_1 \cdots g_{n-q} f_1 \cdots f_q \end{bmatrix} = \lim_{y\to 0} \sum_{i=1}^{\mu} \operatorname{res}_{\mathbb{C}^n,p_i}\begin{bmatrix} h \cdot DF \\ g_{y,1} \cdots g_{y,n-q} f_1 \cdots f_q \end{bmatrix}.$$

The value $(y, 0)$ is a regular value of F: The preimages of y under G are μ simple zeros of $G - y$, which are also the preimages of $(y, 0)$ under F. They must be also simple zeros of $F - (y, 0)$ then. By the Claim we get

$$\operatorname{res}_{\mathbb{C}^n,0}\begin{bmatrix} h \cdot DF \\ g_1 \cdots g_{n-q} f_1 \cdots f_q \end{bmatrix} = \lim_{y\to 0} \frac{1}{(2\pi i)^{n-q}} \int_{\Sigma_{y,\rho}} \frac{h dz_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge dz_{n-q}}{g_{y,1} \cdots g_{y,n-q}}$$

where

$$\Sigma_{y,\rho} := \{f_1 = \cdots = f_q = 0,\ |g_{y,i}| = \rho_i, i = 1, \ldots, n-q\}$$

and ρ is chosen small enough. $\Sigma_{y,\rho}$ decomposes into μ components then. Now it sufficies to show that $\Sigma_{y,\rho}$ is homologous to Σ in

$$V \setminus \Big(\bigcup_{i=1}^{n-q} \{g_i - y_i = 0\} \cup \{0\}\Big).$$

This can be done if y is chosen small enough in the same lines as in [1, p. 113]. □

3 Residues of holomorphic 1-forms

To prove our results on holomorphic 1-forms on an isolated surface singularity we will look at the behaviour of the 1-forms on the Milnor fibre. Therefore we first study the smooth case. Then we construct a class $\sigma \in \mathcal{A}$ and show that it plays a similar role the Jacobian plays in the classical case in the algebra Q_f. The Jacobian generates the 1-dimensional socle of Q_f and thus every linear form $l\colon Q_f \to \mathbb{C}$ which maps the Jacobian not to 0 induces a non-degenerate pairing on Q_f (The induced pairing is always the pairing defined by $B(h, g) := l(h \cdot g)$ in this paper). We will define a linear form $\operatorname{res}\colon \mathcal{A} \to \mathbb{C}$ and use this form to prove a result on the dimension of the socle of $\mathcal{A}$.

3.1 The smooth case

Let $(V, 0) \subset (\mathbb{C}^n, 0)$ be an icis as before and $\omega = \sum_{i=1}^n \omega_i dz_i$ the germ of a holomorphic 1-form on $(\mathbb{C}^n, 0)$ with an isolated zero on $(V, 0)$ (does not vanish on the tangent spaces T_pV for $p \neq 0$ in a neighbourhood of the origin). For $1 \leq j_1, \ldots, j_{q+1} \leq n$ we set

$$m_{j_1,\ldots,j_{q+1}} := \begin{vmatrix} \frac{\partial f_1}{\partial z_{j_1}} & \cdots & \frac{\partial f_1}{\partial z_{j_{q+1}}} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \frac{\partial f_q}{\partial z_{j_1}} & \cdots & \frac{\partial f_q}{\partial z_{j_{q+1}}} \\ \omega_{j_1} & \cdots & \omega_{j_{q+1}} \end{vmatrix}.$$

Furthermore for $1 \leq i \leq n-q$ we set $\tilde{m}_i := m_{i,n-q+1,\dots,n}$. Let J be the ideal as in the introduction and I the ideal in $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}^n,0}$ generated by $f_1, \dots, f_q$ and the minors $\tilde{m}_1, \dots, \tilde{m}_{n-q}$. For simplicity we may assume that all minors vanish at the origin (otherwise the index is 0).

Lemma 4. *For any* $i_1, \dots, i_q, j_1, \dots, j_{q+1} \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ *we have*

$$\frac{\partial(f_1,\dots,f_q)}{\partial(z_{i_1},\dots,z_{i_q})} m_{j_1,\dots,j_{q+1}} = \sum_{l=1}^{q+1} (-1)^{l+1} \frac{\partial(f_1,\dots,f_q)}{\partial(z_{j_1},\dots,\hat{z_{j_l}},\dots,z_{j_{q+1}})} m_{j_l,i_1,\dots,i_q}.$$

Proof. Expansion of $m_{j_1,\dots,j_{q+1}}$ and $m_{j_l,i_1,\dots,i_q}$ by the last row gives us

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\partial(f_1,\dots,f_q)}{\partial(z_{i_1},\dots,z_{i_q})} m_{j_1,\dots,j_{q+1}} &= \sum_{l=1}^{q+1} (-1)^{l+1} \frac{\partial(f_1,\dots,f_q)}{\partial(z_{j_1},\dots,\hat{z_{j_l}},\dots,z_{j_{q+1}})} \Big(m_{j_l,i_1,\dots,i_q} \\ &- \sum_{k=1}^{q} (-1)^{q+k} \omega_{i_k} \frac{\partial(f_1,\dots,f_q)}{\partial(z_{j_l},z_{i_1},\dots,\hat{z_{i_k}},\dots,z_{i_q})} \Big).\end{aligned}$$

Now it suffices to show for any fixed k

$$\sum_{l=1}^{q+1} (-1)^{l} \frac{\partial(f_1,\dots,f_q)}{\partial(z_{j_1},\dots,\hat{z_{j_l}},\dots,z_{j_{q+1}})} \frac{\partial(f_1,\dots,f_q)}{\partial(z_{j_l},z_{i_1},\dots,\hat{z_{i_k}},\dots,z_{i_q})} = 0,$$

which is obvious by expanding the second determinant by the first column and summing over l. □

Proposition 1. *Let* $DF(0) \neq 0$. *Then* $I = J$.

Proof. For any $j_1, \dots, j_{q+1}$ we get from Lemma 4 $DF m_{j_1,\dots,j_{q+1}} \in I$ and therefore $m_{j_1,\dots,j_{q+1}} \in I$, since DF is a unit. □

Now from standard theory we get

Corollary 2. *Let* $DF(0) \neq 0$. *Then*
(i) $f_1, \dots, f_q, \tilde{m}_1, \dots, \tilde{m}_{n-q}$ *defines a regular sequence and*

$$\dim_{\mathbb{C}} \mathcal{A} = \operatorname{res}_{\mathbb{C}^n,0} \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\partial(f_1,\dots,f_q,\tilde{m}_1,\dots,\tilde{m}_{n-q})}{\partial(z_1,\dots,z_n)} \\ f_1 \dots f_q \tilde{m}_1 \dots \tilde{m}_{n-q} \end{bmatrix}.$$

(ii) $\operatorname{res}_{\mathbb{C}^n,0} \begin{bmatrix} \cdot \\ f_1 \dots f_q \tilde{m}_1 \dots \tilde{m}_{n-q} \end{bmatrix} : \mathcal{A} \to \mathbb{C}$ *defines a linear form.*
(iii) The induced pairing on $\mathcal{A}$ *is non-degenerate.*

3.2 The index of a holomorphic 1-form

From now on we restrict to the case $q = n-2$ and we introduce some more notations. Set $m_i := m_{1\dots\hat{\imath}\dots n}$ and for $l \neq k$

$$f_{l,k} := \frac{\partial(f_1,\dots,f_{n-2})}{\partial(z_1,\dots,\hat{z}_l,\dots,\hat{z}_k,\dots,z_n)}.$$

Define M to be the matrix

$$M := \frac{\partial((-1)m_1, (-1)^2 m_2, \dots, (-1)^n m_n)}{\partial(z_1, \dots, z_n)}.$$

We define $\sigma := \sigma_2(M)$ as a coefficient of the characteristical polynomial of M:

$$\sum_{i=0}^{n} (-1)^i \sigma_{n-i}(M) t^i := \det(M - tI).$$

We now want to prove a refinement of Corollary 2 that will be used to prove our main result.

Lemma 5. *Let $(V, 0)$ be smooth and assume m_1, m_2 to be a regular $\mathcal{O}_{V,0}$-sequence. Then*

$$\dim_{\mathbb{C}} \mathcal{A} = \operatorname{res}_{\mathbb{C}^n,0} \begin{bmatrix} DF \cdot \sigma \\ f_1 \dots f_{n-2} m_1 m_2 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Note that we have not assumed $DF(0) \neq 0$. In order to prove this we need a computation.

Lemma 6. *For $j < k$ we have*

$$\frac{\partial(f_1, \dots, f_{n-2}, m_j, m_k)}{\partial(z_1, \dots, z_n)} = -f_{j,k} \cdot \sigma \bmod J.$$

Proof. The expansion formula gives us

$$\frac{\partial(f_1, \dots, f_{n-2}, m_j, m_k)}{\partial(z_1, \dots, z_n)} = \sum_{1 \leq m < l \leq n} (-1)^{m+l+1} f_{m,l} \frac{\partial(m_j, m_k)}{\partial(z_m, z_l)}.$$

Now it sufficies to prove mod$J$

$$f_{m,l} \frac{\partial(m_j, m_k)}{\partial(z_m, z_l)} = f_{j,k} \frac{\partial(m_m, m_l)}{\partial(z_m, z_l)}.$$

The first case is $m, l < j$. By Lemma 4 we get mod$J$

$$\begin{aligned} f_{m,l} \frac{\partial(m_j, m_k)}{\partial(z_m, z_l)} &= f_{m,j} \frac{\partial(m_l, m_k)}{\partial(z_m, z_l)} - f_{l,j} \frac{\partial(m_m, m_k)}{\partial(z_m, z_l)} \\ &= f_{m,k} \frac{\partial(m_l, m_j)}{\partial(z_m, z_l)} - f_{j,k} \frac{\partial(m_l, m_m)}{\partial(z_m, z_l)} \\ &- f_{l,k} \frac{\partial(m_m, m_j)}{\partial(z_m, z_l)} + f_{j,k} \frac{\partial(m_m, m_l)}{\partial(z_m, z_l)}. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand we get again by Lemma 4 mod$J$

$$f_{m,l} \frac{\partial(m_j, m_k)}{\partial(z_m, z_l)} = f_{m,k} \frac{\partial(m_j, m_l)}{\partial(z_m, z_l)} - f_{l,k} \frac{\partial(m_j, m_m)}{\partial(z_m, z_l)}.$$

Summing the two equations we get the Lemma in this case. All other cases can be proved similarly. □

Proof of Lemma 5. Let $k < l$ with $f_{k,l}(0) \neq 0$. By a trivial generalization of Corollary 2 we get

$$\dim_{\mathbb{C}} \mathcal{A} = \operatorname{res}_{\mathbb{C}^n,0} \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\partial(f_1,\ldots,f_{n-2},m_k,m_l)}{\partial(z_1,\ldots,z_n)} \\ f_1 \ldots f_{n-2} m_k m_l \end{bmatrix}.$$

The first and most complicated case is $3 \leq k$. Here we get by Lemma 4

$$\begin{aligned} f_{k,l} m_1 &= -f_{1,k} m_l + f_{1,l} m_k \\ f_{k,l} m_2 &= -f_{2,k} m_l + f_{2,l} m_k. \end{aligned}$$

Furthermore it is not hard to compute

$$f_{2,k} f_{1,l} - f_{1,k} f_{2,l} = -f_{k,l} DF$$

and therefore we get by the transformation formula for residues and Lemma 6

$$\begin{aligned} \dim_{\mathbb{C}} \mathcal{A} &= \operatorname{res}_{\mathbb{C}^n,0} \begin{bmatrix} \frac{-DF}{f_{l,k}} \frac{\partial(f_1,\ldots,f_{n-2},m_k,m_l)}{\partial(z_1,\ldots,z_n)} \\ f_1 \ldots f_{n-2} m_1 m_2 \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \operatorname{res}_{\mathbb{C}^n,0} \begin{bmatrix} DF \cdot \sigma \\ f_1 \ldots f_{n-2} m_1 m_2 \end{bmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

using that the product of DF and any minor is contained in I. All other cases can be proved similarly. □

Now we generalize to the singular case.

Lemma 7. *There exists a linear change of coordinates so that m_1, m_2 is a regular $\mathcal{O}_{V,0}$-sequence.*

Proof. Let $\phi\colon (\mathbb{C}^n, 0) \to (\mathbb{C}^n, 0)$ be biholomorphic with $\phi(y) = z$ and set $\psi := \phi^{-1}$. Denote by m_i^y the minors computed in y-coordinates. By standard computations we get for $i = 1, \ldots, n$

$$\begin{aligned} m_i^y &= \sum_{j=1}^{n} \frac{\partial(\phi_1, \ldots, \hat{\phi}_j, \ldots, \phi_n)}{\partial(y_1, \ldots, \hat{y}_i, \ldots, y_n)} m_j \circ \phi \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^{n} (-1)^{i+j} \det D\phi \frac{\partial \psi_i}{\partial z_j} \circ \phi \cdot m_j \circ \phi. \end{aligned} \tag{3}$$

Since $\mathcal{O}_{V,0}$ is a complete intersection there are complex numbers $c_{11}, \ldots, c_{1n}$, $c_{21}, \ldots, c_{2n}$ so that g_1, g_2 is a regular $\mathcal{O}_{V,0}$-sequence where $g_1 := \sum_{i=1}^n c_{1i} m_i$ and $g_2 := \sum_{i=1}^n c_{2i} m_i$ and therefore $\phi^*(f_1), \ldots, \phi^*(f_{n-2}), \phi^*(g_1), \phi^*(g_2)$ is a regular $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}^n,0}$-sequence. Since the vectors $(c_{11}, \ldots, c_{1n})$ and $(c_{21}, \ldots, c_{2n})$ are linearly independent we can extend them to a squared matrix of complex numbers C with $\det C = 1$. Define C' to be the matrix with entries $c'_{ij} := (-1)^{i+j} c_{ij}$. Then $\det C' = 1$ and therefore C' defines a biholomorphic map. By equation 3 it sufficies now to set $\phi := (C')^{-1}$ since we have $\phi^*(g_1) = m_1^y$ resp. $\phi^*(g_2) = m_2^y$. □

We call a system of coordinates as in the Lemma a good system of coordinates. Further we define for good coordinates

$$\operatorname{res}_{V,0}\begin{bmatrix} h \\ m_1 m_2 \end{bmatrix} := \operatorname{res}_{V,0}\begin{bmatrix} h dz_1 \wedge dz_2 \\ m_1 m_2 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Now we can easily prove our main result.

Proof of Theorem 3. Since the product of DF and any minor is contained in I the residue defines obviously a linear form on $\mathcal{A}$ by Lemma 1. On the other hand we have

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{res}_{V,0}\begin{bmatrix} \sigma \\ m_1 m_2 \end{bmatrix} &= \operatorname{res}_{\mathbb{C}^n,0}\begin{bmatrix} DF\sigma \\ f_1 \dots f_{n-2} m_1 m_2 \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \lim_{\epsilon \to 0} \sum_i \operatorname{res}_{\mathbb{C}^n,p_i}\begin{bmatrix} DF\sigma \\ f_{\epsilon,1} \dots f_{\epsilon,n-2} m_1 m_2 \end{bmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

where $f_\epsilon := (f_1 - \epsilon_1, \dots, f_{n-2} - \epsilon_{n-2})$ and we sum over the zeros of (m_1, m_2) on the Milnor fibre V_ϵ. We may first ask if (m_1, m_2) can have a zero p_i on V_ϵ when $\operatorname{ind}_{V_\epsilon,p_i}\omega = 0$. In this case let $f_{l,k}(p_i) \neq 0$ and we find

$$\frac{\partial(f_{\epsilon,1}, \dots, f_{\epsilon,n-2}, m_l, m_k)}{\partial(z_1, \dots, z_n)} \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}^n,p_i}(f_{\epsilon,1}, \dots, f_{\epsilon,n-2}, m_l, m_k)$$

since one of the minors m_l, m_k does not vanish in p_i. Cramers rule and the same matrix transformation as in the proof of Lemma 5 show that $DF\sigma \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}^n,p_i}(f_{\epsilon,1}, \dots, f_{\epsilon,n-2}, m_1, m_2)$. This means that the residue vanishes in such a point p_i. Therefore the above sum of residues is the sum of the indices of ω on the Milnor fibre by Lemma 5 which equals $\dim_{\mathbb{C}} \mathcal{A}$ by the theorem of Ebeling and Gusein-Zade. □

We cannot expect that the induced pairing is non-degenerate because $\mathcal{A}$ in general has no 1-dimensional socle and therefore there cannot exist a non-degenerate pairing induced by a linear form. We go into more detail in the next subsection.

3.3 Properties of the residue form

We want to show that the rank of the induced pairing β on $\mathcal{A}$ doesn't depend on the choice of a good system of coordinates. Let $\phi\colon (\mathbb{C}^n, 0) \to (\mathbb{C}^n, 0)$ be biholomorphic, $\psi := \phi^{-1}$ and $\phi(y) = z$. We denote by m_i^y the minors computed in y-coordinates and similarly

$$DF^y := \frac{\partial(f_1 \circ \phi, \dots, f_{n-2} \circ \phi)}{\partial(y_3, \dots, y_n)}.$$

Lemma 8. *Let (m_1, m_2) and $(m_1^y(\psi), m_2^y(\psi))$ be regular $\mathcal{O}_{V,0}$-sequences. Then for any $h \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}^n,0}$*

$$\operatorname{res}_{\mathbb{C}^n,0}\begin{bmatrix} hDF \\ f_1 \dots f_{n-2} m_1 m_2 \end{bmatrix} = \operatorname{res}_{\mathbb{C}^n,0}\begin{bmatrix} \det(D\phi)(\psi) h DF^y(\psi) \\ f_1 \dots f_{n-2} m_1^y(\psi) m_2^y(\psi) \end{bmatrix}.$$

Proof. The first step is to show the equality if $(V,0)$ is smooth. Let $k<l$ with $f_{k,l}(0)\neq 0$. In the same way as in the proof of Lemma 5 we get

$$\operatorname{res}_{\mathbb{C}^n,0}\begin{bmatrix} hDF \\ f_1\dots f_{n-2}m_1m_2\end{bmatrix} = \operatorname{res}_{\mathbb{C}^n,0}\begin{bmatrix} hf_{k,l} \\ f_1\dots f_{n-2}m_km_l\end{bmatrix}.$$

Lemma 4 shows now

$$f_{k,l}m_j = \begin{cases} -f_{j,k}m_l + f_{j,l}m_k & \text{for } j<k \\ f_{j,k}m_l + f_{j,l}m_k & \text{for } k<j<l \\ f_{j,k}m_l - f_{j,l}m_k & \text{for } l<j \end{cases}$$

Using this and equation 3 we get

$$\begin{aligned} f_{k,l}m_1^y(\psi) &= \det(D\phi)(\psi)m_k\Big(\sum_{j=1}^{l-1}(-1)^{j+1}\frac{\partial\psi_1}{\partial z_j}f_{j,l} + \sum_{j=l+1}^{n}(-1)^{j}\frac{\partial\psi_1}{\partial z_j}f_{j,l}\Big) \\ &+ \det(D\phi)(\psi)m_l\Big(\sum_{j=1}^{k-1}(-1)^{j}\frac{\partial\psi_1}{\partial z_j}f_{j,k} + \sum_{j=k+1}^{n}(-1)^{j+1}\frac{\partial\psi_1}{\partial z_j}f_{j,k}\Big) \\ f_{k,l}m_2^y(\psi) &= \det(D\phi)(\psi)m_k\Big(\sum_{j=1}^{l-1}(-1)^{j}\frac{\partial\psi_2}{\partial z_j}f_{j,l} + \sum_{j=l+1}^{n}(-1)^{j+1}\frac{\partial\psi_2}{\partial z_j}f_{j,l}\Big) \\ &+ \det(D\phi)(\psi)m_l\Big(\sum_{j=1}^{k-1}(-1)^{j+1}\frac{\partial\psi_2}{\partial z_j}f_{j,k} + \sum_{j=k+1}^{n}(-1)^{j}\frac{\partial\psi_2}{\partial z_j}f_{j,k}\Big). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore we have a matrix A with

$$\begin{pmatrix} f_{k,l}m_1^y(\psi) \\ f_{k,l}m_2^y(\psi)\end{pmatrix} = A\begin{pmatrix} m_k \\ m_l\end{pmatrix}.$$

Using the formula

$$f_{i,l}f_{j,k} = \pm f_{k,l}f_{i,j} \pm f_{j,l}f_{i,k}$$

where i,l,k,k are pairwise disjoint and the signs depend on the position of the indices, it is not hard to compute

$$\begin{aligned} \det A &= \det(D\phi)^2(\psi)f_{k,l}\sum_{1\le i<j\le n}(-1)^{i+j+1}\frac{\partial(\psi_1,\psi_2)}{\partial(z_i,z_j)}f_{i,j} \\ &= \det(D\phi)(\psi)f_{k,l}DF^y(\psi). \end{aligned}$$

Application of the transformation formula for residues finishes the proof in the smooth case. To generalize to the singular case we have to show

$$\sum_i \operatorname{res}_{\mathbb{C}^n,p_i}\begin{bmatrix} hDF \\ f_{\epsilon,1}\dots f_{\epsilon,n-2}m_1m_2\end{bmatrix} = \sum_j \operatorname{res}_{\mathbb{C}^n,q_j}\begin{bmatrix} \det(D\phi)(\psi)hDF^y(\psi) \\ f_{\epsilon,1}\dots f_{\epsilon,n-2}m_1^y(\psi)m_2^y(\psi)\end{bmatrix},$$

where the first sum is taken over the zeros of $g_1 := (m_1,m_2)$ on the Milnor fibre V_ϵ and the second over the zeros of $g_2 := (m_1^y(\psi),m_2^y(\psi))$ on V_ϵ. The residues equal each other at those points which are common zeros of g_1 and g_2. Let p_i be a zero of g_1 with $g_2(p_i)\neq 0$. Then $\operatorname{ind}_{V_\epsilon,p_i}\omega = 0$. If $f_{l,k}(p_i)\neq 0$

we have $hf_{k,l} \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}^n,p_i}(f_{\epsilon,1}, \ldots, f_{\epsilon,n-2}, m_1, m_2)$. The same transformation as at the beginning of the proof and application of Cramers rule show $hDF \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}^n,p_i}(f_{\epsilon,1}, \ldots, f_{\epsilon,n-2}, m_1, m_2)$. Therefore the residue vanishes at such a point. Similarly one arguments for a zero q_j of g_2 with $g_1(q_j) \neq 0$. Since the above sums of residues are equal the limit procedure gives us the equality of the residues at the origin.

□

We assume that the chosen coordinates as well as the y-coordinates are good. We define

$$\mathcal{B} := \frac{\mathcal{O}_{V,0}}{(m_1, m_2)}, \;\; \mathcal{C} := \frac{\mathcal{B}}{\mathrm{ann}_{\mathcal{B}}(DF)}.$$

Since we have $\mathcal{B}(m_3, \ldots, m_n) \subset \mathrm{ann}_{\mathcal{B}}(DF)$ we find that $\dim_{\mathbb{C}} \mathcal{C} \leq \dim_{\mathbb{C}} \mathcal{A}$ and the residue induces a linear form on $\mathcal{C}$, the induced pairing on $\mathcal{C}$ is non-degenerate, since

$$\mathrm{res}_{V,0} \begin{bmatrix} hg \\ m_1 m_2 \end{bmatrix} = 0 \;\text{ for all } g \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}^n,0}$$

implies

$$\mathrm{res}_{\mathbb{C}^n,0} \begin{bmatrix} hDFg \\ f_1 \ldots f_{n-2} m_1 m_2 \end{bmatrix} = 0 \;\text{ for all } g \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}^n,0}.$$

By duality we get $hDF \in I$ and therefore $h \in \mathrm{ann}_{\mathcal{B}}(DF)$. This means that $\mathcal{C}$ has an 1-dimensional socle $\mathrm{soc}\mathcal{C}$. It is generated by the class of σ: Let $g(0) = 0$. Then we get

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{res}_{V,0} \begin{bmatrix} g\sigma \\ m_1 m_2 \end{bmatrix} &= \lim_{\epsilon \to 0} \sum_i \mathrm{res}_{\mathbb{C}^n,p_i} \begin{bmatrix} gDF\sigma \\ f_{\epsilon,1} \ldots f_{\epsilon,n-2} m_1 m_2 \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \lim_{\epsilon \to 0} \sum_i g(p_i) \mathrm{ind}_{V_\epsilon,p_i} \omega \\ &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

and therefore $\sigma \in \mathrm{soc}\mathcal{C}$. Furthermore we find $\mathrm{rank}\beta = \dim_{\mathbb{C}} \mathcal{C}$ and we can conclude

$$\dim_{\mathbb{C}} \mathrm{soc}\mathcal{A} \leq \dim_{\mathbb{C}} \mathcal{A} - \dim_{\mathbb{C}} \mathcal{C} + 1.$$

We want to show that $\dim_{\mathbb{C}} \mathcal{C}$ does not depend on the choice of a good system of coordinates. Since we have an exact sequence

$$0 \to \mathrm{ann}_{\mathcal{B}}(DF) \to \mathcal{B} \overset{\cdot DF}{\to} \mathcal{B} \to \frac{\mathcal{B}}{\mathcal{B}(DF)} \to 0$$

we get $\dim_{\mathbb{C}} \mathcal{C} = \dim_{\mathbb{C}} \mathcal{B}(DF)$. Let

$$\mathcal{B}' := \frac{\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}^n,0}}{(f_1(\phi), \ldots, f_{n-2}(\phi), m_1^y, m_2^y)}.$$

We have to show $\dim_{\mathbb{C}} \mathcal{B}(DF) = \dim_{\mathbb{C}} \mathcal{B}'(DF^y)$. Since we have

$$\dim_{\mathbb{C}} \mathcal{B}'(DF^y) = \dim_{\mathbb{C}} \mathcal{B}''(DF^y(\psi))$$

with

$$\mathcal{B}'' := \frac{\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}^n,0}}{(f_1,\dots,f_{n-2},m_1^y(\psi),m_2^y(\psi))}$$

it remains to construct an isomorphism of vector spaces

$$\varphi\colon \mathcal{B}(DF) \to \mathcal{B}''(DF^y \circ \psi).$$

We set $\varphi(gDF) := gDF^y(\psi)$. Now Lemma 8 and duality show that

$$g \in \operatorname{ann}_{\mathcal{B}}(DF) \Longleftrightarrow g \in \operatorname{ann}_{\mathcal{B}''}(DF^y(\psi))$$

which means that φ is well defined and injective and of course surjective. We want to summarize:

Proposition 2. *(i) The residue induces a non-degenerate pairing on $\mathcal{C}$.*
(ii) The 1-dimensional socle of $\mathcal{C}$ is generated by σ.
(iii) The dimension of $\mathcal{C}$ does not depend on the choice of a good system of coordinates.
(iv) $\operatorname{rank}\beta = \dim_{\mathbb{C}} \mathcal{C}$*, in particular if V is smooth then β is non-degenerate and σ generates the 1-dimensional socle of $\mathcal{A}$.*
(v) $\dim_{\mathbb{C}} \operatorname{soc}\mathcal{A} \leq \dim_{\mathbb{C}} \mathcal{A} - \dim_{\mathbb{C}} \mathcal{C} + 1$.

4 Remarks

4.1 The case of curve singularities

We have only one minor m here and therefore the algebra $\mathcal{A}$ is a complete intersection. Residue theory can be applied directly so that we obtain a non-degenerate pairing on $\mathcal{A}$. But the dimension of $\mathcal{A}$ can also be expressed by a relative integral

$$\dim_{\mathbb{C}} \mathcal{A} = \frac{1}{2\pi i}\int_{\Sigma} \frac{dm}{m}$$

by Corollary 1.

4.2 The general case

We may ask how to generalize our results when we consider a general icis. The problem is that in general there is no choice of coordinates so that $(\tilde{m}_1,\dots,\tilde{m}_{n-q})$ is a regular $\mathcal{O}_{V,0}$-sequence and therefore the residues don't exist. There is a simple reason that was pointed out to the author by S.M. Gusein-Zade. Let $n > 2q+1$. Assume that $f_1,\dots,f_q,\tilde{m}_1,\dots,\tilde{m}_{n-q}$ define an isolated zero. Consider the $(q+1)$ maximal minors of the matrix

$$\begin{pmatrix} \frac{\partial f_1}{\partial z_{n-q+1}} & \cdots & \frac{\partial f_1}{\partial z_n} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \frac{\partial f_q}{\partial z_{n-q+1}} & \cdots & \frac{\partial f_q}{\partial z_n} \\ \omega_{n-q+1} & \cdots & \omega_n \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then the vanishing of these minors at the origin would imply that $2q+1$ equations define an isolated zero which is not possible. If one of these minors is a unit in $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}^n,0}$, then $\mathcal{A}$ is a complete intersection.

4.3 Linear forms on spaces of relative holomorphic forms

It also seems to be natural to define linear forms on the vector space $\Omega^{n-q}_{V,0}/\omega \wedge \Omega^{n-q-1}_{V,0}$ since it's dimension is also $\mathrm{ind}_{V,0}\omega$: We have an exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow T\Omega^{n-q}_{V,0} \longrightarrow \frac{\Omega^{n-q}_{V,0}}{\omega \wedge \Omega^{n-q-1}_{V,0}} \xrightarrow{\lambda} \frac{J'}{J} \longrightarrow 0$$

and $\dim_{\mathbb{C}} T\Omega^{n-q}_{V,0} = \dim_{\mathbb{C}} \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}^n,0}/J'$ where $T\Omega^{n-q}_{V,0}$ is the torsion submodule and J' the ideal in $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}^n,0}$ generated by the components of f and the maximal minors of it's Jacobi matrix. Details of this argumentation can be found in [6]. If we have a regular $\mathcal{O}_{V,0}$-sequence $(g_1, \ldots, g_{n-q})$ and the residue $\mathrm{res}_{V,0}\begin{bmatrix} \cdot \\ g_1 \cdots g_{n-q} \end{bmatrix}$ vanishes on $\omega \wedge \Omega^{n-q-1}_{V,0}$ the absolute residue $\mathrm{res}_{\mathbb{C}^n,0}\begin{bmatrix} \cdot \\ g_1 \cdots g_{n-q} f_1 \cdots f_q \end{bmatrix}$ vanishes on J since $m_{j_1,\ldots,j_{q+1}} \in \lambda(\omega \wedge \Omega^{n-q-1}_{V,0})$ for each minor.

References

[1] V.I. Arnold, S.M. Gusein-Zade, A.N. Varchenko: Singularities of Differentiable Maps. I. Birkhäuser-Verlag, Basel, 1985

[2] Ch. Bonatti and X. Gomez-Mont: The index of a holomorphic vector field on a singular variety I. Asterisque **222,** (1994) 9-35

[3] W. Ebeling and S.M. Gusein-Zade: On the Index of a Holomorphic 1-Form on an Isolated Complete Intersection Singularity. Doklady Mathematics **64,** No. 2, (2001) 221-224

[4] X. Gomez-Mont: An algebraic formula for the index of a vector field on a hypersurface with an isolated singularity. J. of Alg. Geom. **7,** (1998) 731-752

[5] X. Gomez-Mont, J. Seade, and A. Verjowsky: The index of a holomorphic flow with an isolated singularity. Math. Ann. **291,** (1991) 737-751

[6] G.-M. Greuel: Der Gauß-Manin Zusammenhang isolierter Singularitäten von vollständigen Durchschnitten. Math. Ann. **214,** (1975) 235-266

[7] Ph. Griffiths and J. Harris: Principles of Algebraic Geometry. J. Wiley and Sons, New York, 1978

[8] D. Lehmann and T. Suwa: Residues of holomorphic vector fields relative to singular invariant subvarieties. J. Differential Geometry **42,** (1995) 165-192

[9] D. Lehmann and T. Suwa: Residues of holomorphic vector fields on singular varieties. Ecuaciones Diferenciales Singularidades, ed. J. Mozo Fernandes, Universidad de Valladolid (1997) 159-182

[10] D. Lehmann, M. Soares, and T. Suwa: On the index of a holomorphic vector field tangent to a singular variety. Bol. Soc. Bras. Mat. **26,** (1995) 183-199

[11] John W. Milnor: Topology from the Differentiable Viewpoint. University Press of Virginia, 1965

[12] J.A. Seade and T. Suwa: A residue formula for the index of a holomorphic flow. Math. Ann. **304,** (1996) 621-634